The following information provides a snapshot of aspects of ATE project and center evaluations, as reported by respondents on the 2017 ATE survey. The findings reflect activities in 2016.

**Type of Evaluator**
Nearly all projects had an evaluator in 2016. (n=206)

- External: 74%
- Internal: 10%
- None: 10%
- Both: 5%

**Interaction with Evaluator**
Most project leaders reported interacting with their evaluators occasionally or often. (n=173)

- Rarely: 5%
- Infrequently: 17%
- Occasionally: 46%
- Often: 24%
- Continually: 8%

**Type of Evaluation Report**
A little more than half of projects received both written and oral evaluation reports. (n=186)

- Both: 52%
- Written only: 23%
- None: 18%
- Oral only: 7%

**Evaluation Report Use**
Project leaders who received reports in both oral and written forms reported higher rates of evaluation use than those who received just one type. (n=153)

- Gauging impact: 90%
- Informing stakeholders: 81%
- Modifying activities: 79%
- Marketing: 34%
- Modifying goals: 36%

---

*a Eighty-four percent of 250 ATE grant recipients completed this survey. Of these 210 respondents, 186 provided information about their evaluations.*