The following information provides a snapshot of aspects of ATE project and center evaluations, as reported by respondents on the 2018 ATE survey. The findings reflect activities in 2017.

**Type of Evaluator**
Nearly all projects had an evaluator in 2016. (n=244)
- External: 79%
- Internal: 4%
- None: 11%
- Both: 6%

**Interaction with Evaluator**
Most project leaders reported interacting with their evaluators occasionally or often. (n=206)
- Rarely: 4%
- Infrequently: 19%
- Occasionally: 44%
- Often: 26%
- Continually: 7%

**Type of Evaluation Report**
A little less than half of projects received both written and oral evaluation reports. (n=215)
- Both: 49%
- Written only: 29%
- None: 16%
- Oral only: 6%

**Evaluation Report Use**
Project leaders who received reports in both oral and written forms reported higher rates of evaluation use than those who received just one type. (n=215)
- Gauging impact: 87%
- Informing stakeholders: 84%
- Modifying activities: 70%
- Marketing: 36%
- Modifying goals: 35%

---

*Ninety percent of 276 ATE grant recipients completed this survey. Of these 248 respondents, 245 provided information about their evaluations.*