The following information provides a snapshot of some key evaluation practices, as reported by non-first year ATE grantees on the 2012 ATE Survey. The findings reflect activities in 2011.

**Evaluation use in ATE**

- To change activities: 86%
- To gauge impact: 80%
- To inform stakeholders: 71%
- To change evaluation strategies: 63%
- To change goals: 41%
- For marketing work: 37%

**Type of evaluator**

- External- Type 1*: 82%
- External- Type 2*: 8%
- Both external & internal: 5%
- Internal only: 5%

External-Type 1: external to both the project/center and the institution.
External-Type 2: external to the project/center but internal to the institution.

**Percentage of ATE project and center budgets spent on evaluation**

- Average: 7%
- Range: 1%-30%

**Frequency of PI-evaluator interaction**

- Rarely: 8%
- Infrequently: 21%
- Occasionally: 42%
- Often: 27%
- Continually: 3%